Control by design, the new frontier of audit? *The case for a blockchain solution*



EUROPEAN COURT OF AUDITORS

Mirko Iaconisi Auditor and ECALab contributor

09/07/2019

How difficult is to falsify a digital document?

Modifying content and metadata, such as creation date and author, without IT skills



Starting point

| | Description Sec | urity Fonts | Custom Advanced | Ł |
|--|-----------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Description | | | |
| | File: | AP_Anti-Mic | robial resistance.pdf | |
| | Title: | Audit Previe | w - EU action to fight | antimicrobial resistance |
| Audit preview EU action to fight | Author: | European Co | ourt of Auditors | |
| Information on an upcoming audit antimicrobial | Subject: | | | |
| resistance | Keywords: | | | |
| February 2019 | | | | |
| | Created: | 25/02/2019 1 | 1:21:15 | |
| | Modified: | 25/02/2019 1 | 7:01:04 | |
| | Application: | Acrobat PDF | Maker 15 for Word | |



Looking for help

how to modify the creation date of a pdf

About 2,020,000,000 results (0.67 seconds)

Many options in terms of desktop application and even free online tools





Using a dedicated online tool

| + Add file(s) 🛆 😌 | Author | European Court of Auditors |
|-------------------|--------------|---|
| or drag & drop | Addior. | |
| | iitie: | Alarming findings - EU action to fight antimicropial resistar |
| | Subject: | |
| | Keywords: | |
| | Created on: | 2017-04-13 11:21:15 |
| | Modified on: | 2017-04-14 17:01:04 |
| | | Apply changes |
| | | |

Result

Document Properties

| | Security | Fonts | Custom | Advanced | |
|------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Descriptio | n File: Alar | rming Fin | dings_Ant | -Microbial resistance.pdf | |
| T | Title: Ala | irming fin | idings - EU | action to fight antimicrobial re | esistance |
| Aut | thor: Eur | ropean Co | ourt of Aud | litors | |
| Sub | ject: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Keywo | ords: | | | | |
| Keywo | ated: 13/0 | 04/2017 1 | 1:21:15 | | |





EU action to fight antimicrobial



April 2017





How difficult is to falsify a digital document?



And yet, the majority of our supporting documents and audit evidence come in digital (unsigned) format.

Is there a solution to mitigate this issue?

In the "physical world", we would ask a notary to timestamp and seal our paper document.

The notary himself would provide the needed trust.



Can we build an equivalent for the digital world?

Objectives

To design a solution whereby documents produced by different stakeholders are systematically notarised, are easily verifiable and create a common, reliable audit trail that every stakeholder can trust.



The case for a blockchain-based solution

The ECA Registry

Part 1 - Introduction

How to build a notarisation service on blockchain

History of ledgers



A few centuries old

Physical and centralised

A few decades old Digital and centralised



10 years old Natively digital and decentralised

What is blockchain in one slide

Each transaction is **digitally signed** by the initiator, and **must be accepted** (validated) **by the majority** of the other nodes.

The ledger is **transparent**: All actors can see all the transactions.





A blockchain is a **distributed** digital ledger (database) that can record economic transactions between two parties in a **verifiable permanent** and **secure** way, without the need for **trusted** authorities (intermediaries).



In a centralised system, an attacker would have only **one target**.

With blockchain, he would need to overpower **every other node of the network**, or gain control of at least 51% of the copies of the database. **Many copies** of the database (nodes) are spread around the globe and synchronised through a **consensus mechanism**.



Once added to the blockchain, transactions **can't be deleted nor modified**.

The past is written in stone: the ledger is an **immutable**, ever-growing list.





Main variables



Consensus algorithm







In other words

- Blockchain builds trust in the data among different stakeholders.
- This is true **even in presence of untrusted actors**, as long as the majority of the nodes behaves ethically



In other words

- It provides a common state (truth) every actor can agree upon and everyone can verify
- It keeps a **permanent** history of all records

... but it is less efficient than a centralised database and can store very little data.







Some applications





Smart Contracts







Notarisation = recording imprints of documents

Imprints = unique digital footprints (hash) generated from the content of a document.

Document = any digital asset (text, message, photo, step in process etc.)

Notes:

- Any change in the content completely alters the imprint
 → mechanism to verify the integrity of a document.
- An imprint on blockchain is "written in stone"
 → it serves as a future reference to prove that a document has not been altered since its registration.
- Only the imprint is saved on the blockchain.

 → the source data (documents, metadata etc.) are kept "offchain" and can be kept private, as required.



Minutes of the EU Ebola Task Force meeting of 07

Exists accordination: intervalue between UMBEEE, OCHA, ECHA, and UWA memory to prove Makers, Special Eveny on Exists), and a five two two restances of prove cases in Literator for 40 kpc, how we have the device and to new cases in Literator for 40 kpc, however, a substantier test literator in a lower and to new cases in Literator for 40 kpc, however, a substantier test literator handows between UMBEEE and WHO, provider the information handows between UMBEEE and WHO, provider the information handows between UMBEEE and WHO, provider the information handows for any strategies and the first and the substantian of the substantian test and the substantian handows between UMBEEE and WHO, provider the information and other partners has been on track since Ferenary. The device of table magnetized and other partners has been on track since Ferenary test and other partners has been on track since Ferenary. The device of table magnetized to the substantian test and the test and the test and the substantian test and the substantian test and the test and the substantian test and the substantian test and the test and the substantian test and the substantian test and the test and the substantian test and the substantian test and the test and the substantian test and the substantian test and the test and the substantian test and the substantian test and the test and the substantian test and the substantian test and the test and the substantian test and the substantian test and the test and the substantian test and test and test and the test and tes

LRRD: The PREPARE protect (presentation by France): France presented the PERRE project, and at strengthermic the preparation, prevention, and response to denics in Ourne by setting us early warning and region response basis at a regional Gunnan Ebol Coordination Cell and Ministry of Health, Tes Prench civil protection, the force Institute and Experise France. The project is funded by the European ministen (14 million users) and France (14 million users).

ligidate from the field (ECHO Offices in Liberia, Guine); in Siera Locon, two ment dontex three values cases of Ebod, and it is cases reported by VHO. One discuts the second datrict is in Xamou, where cross border information and communication and he larger listes. Uterial will not 4 doisy since the bits List of Ebod was refer. A very caubios celebration will be stopped, holpitghting the fact that the listication of the divides of bloor pol-VHMER. The decision much be listed listics on the larger listics of bloor pol-VHMER. The decision much by the Liberia nortics to guarantee hazard pay to all health workers remains a large challenge to crig of the health space, in the hold and and medium term.

 Ebola co-ordination: interplay, between UNMEER, OCHA, RCHC and WHO (information by David Nabarro, Special Envoy on Ebola, Bruce Aylward, WHO and Peter Granff, UNMEER)

WHO's Assistant Director-General, Bruce Aylward provided the TF with an epideminological overview of the current situation in the region. As of today, the lowest number of cases has been reported since the beginning of the outbreat; 9 in Gunea, 9 in Sierra Leone and no new cases in Libera for 40 days. However, WHO highlighted that a substantive risk limited to the virus subsists and the low number of new cases should not longer an end to the



0086 46BB FB7D CBE2 823C ACC7 6CD1 90B1 EE6E 3ABC





Verifying a document's integrity / authenticity





Part 2 - The beginning

The ECA Registry PoC

~ ~ ~

The ECA Registry

A Proof of Concept (Mar-Jun 2018) developed together with a private company.

It acts as a **notarisation service** that allows users to:

- register on **public blockchain(s)** digital documents
- verify their authenticity
- create a secure, timestamped audit trail.

Moreover the ECA registry:

- allows to record and link together imprints of files and their metadata
- allows for bi-directional exchange of information with third parties in a GDPR compliant way
- **naturally interfacing** with existing systems (API)





Existence+integrity | Permanent | Time-stamped | Verifiable | Interlinked







Our main use case: audit documentation

Scenario

Commission services, managing authorities and beneficiaries of EU funds **notarise systematically and in decentralised way** files which may be relevant for an audit (e.g. contracts, invoices and other supporting documents).

Original document (private storage)



Registration (linked to public blockchains)

| 33 Proof of delivery regist | tration reg_sbc/9dd54c9b6 |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Imprint 61F073D2A7872A849435400060EBCA6C7 13C2A716F5D5E336321D286054C64DB | Description No Info Available |
| O Registration time 2018-10-17 20:38:43.547088 (UTC) | |
| | European Court of Auditors (ECA) |
| E Ethereum Transaction | Bitcoin Transaction |
| Relations | |

| | Title | Туре | \$ Created at | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------|---|
| 8 | Venue change - materiality | Notification | 17 Oct 2018 - 20:35:49 | View | * |
| | Presence list | Proof of delivery | 17 Oct 2018 - 20:38:44 | View | * |
| | Participants list | Proof of delivery | 17 Oct 2018 - 21:58:56 | View | * |
| | Training material | Deliverable | 17 Oct 2018 - 22:01:25 | View | * |
| | Expenses Jun. 15 - Feb. 16 | Expenses report | 17 Oct 2018 - 22:06:31 | View | * |
| | Expenses approval | Authorization | 17 Oct 2018 - 22:08:56 | View | * |



Our main use case: audit documentation

They explicitly authorise the ECA to access their registrations (GDPR compliant process). The ECA is a Certified Registrar and as such can also register documents on behalf of an auditee, when authorised. The registered documents become visible as well **in the auditee's account.**



ECA Registry Visit registrar's website

European Court of Auditors (ECA)

The Court of Auditors (European Court of Auditors, ECA) (French: Cour des comptes européenne) is the fifth institution of the European Union (EU). It was established in 1975 in Luxembourg.

The primary role of the court is to externally check if the budget of the European Union has been implemented correctly, in that EU funds have been spent legally and with sound management. In doing so, the court checks the paperwork of all persons handling any income or expenditure of the Union and carries out spot checks. The court is bound to report any problems in the Court's reports for the attention of other states and institutions, these reports include its general annual report as well as specific and special reports on certain bodies and issues. The Court's decision is the basis for the European Commission decisions; for example, when the Court found problems in the management of EU funds in the regions of England, the Commission suspended funds to those regions and prepared to fine those who did not come back up to acceptable standards.







Three layers

User interface

& currency

& invoice_number

EUR

052

| Title | ¢ | Туре | \$ Created at | | |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------|------|---|
| promotion event india | | Proof of delivery | 28 Sep 2018 - 10:08:42 | View | × |
| promotion event russia | | Proof of delivery | 28 Sep 2018 - 10:13:01 | View | * |
| roadshows declarations | | Proof of delivery | 28 Sep 2018 - 10:14:26 | View | * |
| Invoice - Platon 052 | | Invoice | 28 Sep 2018 - 10:22:21 | View | * |

| | | Registry datab | ase |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------|
| | \$ Invoice registration | on reg_5bae00dd11fee | - Registe |
| > Imprint | | 1 Description | steet. |
| D646FF3FB81436E EF30DF516FDC6DA | 0ABB7234B79236D9F6BA8890 8096C00CC1 | No Info Available | |
| Registration tim | e | | |
| 2018-09-28 10:2 | 2:21.266890 (UTC) | | |
| | | D Etherscan | |
| | E Ethereum Transaction | Rinkeby Testnet Network | |
| | | Transaction Details | |
| Additional Informati | on about this registration | Overview | |
| 음 date | 31 July 2017 | [This is a Rinkeby Testnet Transaction Only |] |
| 8 amount | 8565,92 | ⑦ Transaction Hash: | 0x64279ec |

Blockchain explorer

| 1 Etherscan | | All Filters ~ | Search by | / Address / Txn F |
|---|---|-----------------|------------|-------------------|
| Rinkeby Testnet Network | | | Home | Blockchain 🗸 |
| Transaction Details | | | | |
| Overview | | | | |
| [This is a Rinkeby Testnet Transaction Only | 1 | | | |
| ⑦ Transaction Hash: | 0x64279ec9b172ca7ab69c61c877370e3999445df16 | 87bec0056fdb69b | 78f4bf0b [| þ |
| ⑦ Status: | Success | | | |
| ⑦ Block: | 3078450 1624109 Block Confirmations | | | |
| ⑦ Timestamp: | © 281 days 23 hrs ago (Sep-30-2018 10:36:25 AM +0 | JTC) | | |
| ⑦ From: | 0x7c3d5b476dbe5592dc71533e31cf635740e72394 | ſ | | |
| ⑦ To: | 0x7c3d5b476dbe5592dc71533e31cf635740e72394 | ¢ | | |
| ⑦ Value: | 0 Ether (\$0.00) | | | |
| ⑦ Transaction Fee: | 0.000023448 Ether (\$0.00) | | | |
| ⑦ Gas Limit: | 23,500 | | | |

Use case: audit documentation

Potential benefits



Integrity of the supporting documents provided is guaranteed



It is possible to prove that **time-sensitive documents were produced before** a given deadline



Audit trail of interlinked documents (logical chain)



Decentralised and GDPR compliant process



Reduced administrative burden for auditees - "Once only" principle



In case of widespread adoption, **faster and fully digital audits** would be possible



Other potential uses

Public Procurement

- Call for tender, offers and other documents are notarised as soon as they are produced. This leads to:
 - ✓ A fully digital, notarised and verifiable procedure, with a lightweight tool
 - ✓ More **transparency** on the process vis à vis citizens

ECA publications

- All the ECA publications are notarised through the Registry as part of the publication process. This guarantees:
 - ✓ Protection of content integrity (e.g. in case of outsourced publication).
 - ✓ Possibility to verify a document (by any stakeholders, including citizens)
 - In the context of open data/creative commons, certification of original content (imprint + timestamp)







Other potential use cases

ECA Publications





High level view



Simplified conceptual architecture



Part 3 – The present and future

The European Blockchain Services Infrastructure

European Blockchain Partnership

26 EU Member States plus Norway and Liechtenstein



Implementation in cooperation with EU Member States

- Recognise potential of blockchain to transform digital services in Europe
- Signatories and EU institutions to work together to make Europe the leader
- Build a European Blockchain Services Infrastructure (EBSI):
 ✓ Started in 2018 First services in 2020



European blockchain Services Infrastructure (EBSI)

Ambition: put in place the EBSI as a **"gold" standard** *in terms of Cybersecurity, Energy efficiency, Scalability, Performance, Service continuity, integrating EU standards, fully compliant with EU regulations (e.g. GDPR)*

Practical implementation via use cases agreed upon by European Blockchain Partnership:

- First focus on **cross border public services** substantially enhanced through the use of blockchain
- Enable private services through effective public-private partnership
- EC funding through Connecting Europe Facility (2019-20) and then Digital Europe Programme proposal (as of 2021)





ECA Registry and EBSI - Timeline



The next steps – Not just a technical matter

The technology has been tested in the PoC and will be soon (re)developed as a pan-European service. Some technical details still to be defined in such a broader context (e.g. identity standard(s)).

The main choices to be made are now **business related** (e.g. metadata sets)

But above all, we will have to **update our rules/processes accordingly**. For example, the notarisation of documents by the beneficiaries could become a mandatory requirement for the disbursement of EU funds.





Complementarity with process mining



Conclusion

A blockchain-based registry

provides a solution whereby outputs produced by different stakeholders / processes can be systematically notarised, are easily verifiable and create a common, reliable audit trail that every stakeholder can trust.



Contacts

Email mirko.iaconisi@eca.europa.eu

LinkedIn linkedin.com/in/mirko-iaconisi-61278117